

Proposed amendment to the proposed bylaws by Ambassador Kamal & Frank Farance.

Submitted by Lee Edelman

551, apt 214 days: 212 840-3286; even: 212 371-1808

e-mail: [gold@marcopolo-pm.com](mailto:gold@marcopolo-pm.com) please put ISALND HOUSE in upper case in subject line

### Article III

#### Section 2

Section 2. ~~Vote of Confidence.~~ Within two weeks of the adoption of these By-Laws, the Board shall ~~either call for a vote of confidence in itself or proceed with a vote~~ for the reconstitution of a new Board. A similar ~~Vote of confidence~~ vote shall be required whenever the definition of Membership changes, or if one-fifth of the Members request such a vote.

(If there is a call for a vote of confidence and the vote is no, there is no provision in the bylaws for an election to reconstitute the board. Hence the board could continue as it is even when they have received a vote of no confidence. If they should receive a yes vote, then 2 directors from one apartment would continue on the board in direct contradiction to the bylaws. Further, if there is a vote no confidence and then a vote to reconstitute the board is called, we will be adding substantial additional time to the whole process where time is a critical factor. It is a lot simpler and more direct to have a vote to reconstitute the board which would act as a vote on confidence for the current Board members should they choose to run (reelection would be a vote of confidence, not being reelected a vote of no confidence). This procedure would give the tenancy a chance to listen to and decide on both differing approaches to the conversion process and who is best suited to represent them in moving forward.)